(h) Basel on packaging material supplied to plants in various States; the installed Capacity and utilisation of tetrapack untis for packing milk is as under:-

(All fig. in million packs)

State	Monthly capacity	Dec. 89	Jan. 90	Feb. 90
Gujarat	6·04	0·72	1·28	1·09
Madhya Pradesh	3·02	0·84	0·72	0·80
Rajasthan	6·04	0·47	NIL*	0·64
** Andhra Pradesh	6·04	NIL	NIL	NIL

** No packaging material supplied.

** No packaging material supplied as plant closed due to management problem.

(c) Milk production has increased in all the States during the last 3 years.

(d) A letter dated 21st July, 1986 was received by the Chairman, of erstwhile Indian Dairy Corooration (IDC) from Shri Shivaji Rao Moghe regarding lifting of 8000MT of Skimmed Milk Powder (SMP) from Maharashtra. As ISI certification for milk powder had been made compulsory, the Chairman, IDC informed in his letter dated 30th July, 1986 that it would lift 4534 MT of SMP with ISI mark, provided it met the quality and other' specifications at the time of lifting.

(e) Does not arise.

Expenditure by NDDB on Tetrapack Machines

1088. SHRI SKAMIM HASHMI.Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Dhara oil and other milk and soft drinks are being packed in Tetrapack on which royalty is being paid in Ind foreign currency and if so, the details thereof, item-wise, during the last three years;

(b) whether it is a fact that Tetrapack machines had been imported by NDDB with hard currency and if so, the expenditure incurred so far on laminated paper plant and packaging machines and royalty paid to the MNC;

(c) whether it is also a fact that some quantity of NDDB and paper has also been imported for the Tetrapack plants and if so, the details thereof from the start of this project, year-wise indicating quantities and expenditure; and

(d) whether NDDB has borrowed funds from the World Bank for operation flood, including Tetrapack and if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OP AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI NITISH KUMAR): (a) A one time royalty payment of Rs. 6.5 lakhs inequivalent foreign currency was made based on the terms and conditions of the foreign collaboration agreement approved by the -Government. The agreement has since expired and no royalty is payable now.

(b) Expenditure incurred on import of Tetrapack machines in foreign exchange is Rs. 1910.31 lakhs. The total expenditure incurred on imports for Paper Laminating Plant is Rs. 308.92 lakhs upto 1988-89, No royalty is paid on machines.

(0) 600 MT of LDPE valued at Rs. 71,79,162/- and 400 MT of LDPE.valued at Rs, 87,73,468/- was imported during 1987-88 and 1988-89 respectively. No paper has been imported for the Paper Laminating Plant, However, laminated paper costing Rs. 55.46 lakhs was imported between July, 1988 to July, 1989 during the initial period of marketing of Edible Oil in Tetrapacks.

.(d) Under Phase-II of the Operation Flood an amount of US$/150 million was received, from World Bank and under

phase-III there is a provision of World Brak assistance of US$ 360 million for activities outlined in the project.

Short fall in production due to continuous gift imports

1059. SHRI SHAMIM HASHMI: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that con-continued gift imports of edible oils by NDDB is leading to continued shortfall in production of edible oilseeds as reported in the Times of India of the 3rd April, 1990 as happened in case of gift milk and wheat imports earlier;

(b) whether Government would stop all gift imports of food items in order to achieve self sufficiency, self-reliance; and

(c) whether Government are *aware* that sift imports, despite claims to the contrary has been ensuring payment of non-remunerative pries to produce ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI NITISH KUMAR): (a) It is not true that continued gift imports of edible oils is leadins to continueed shortfall in production of oilseeds. On the contrary, sift imports have been supplerj'enting the imports by Government to meet the shortrall in availability of edible oils in the country.

(b) Gavernrmnt has not taken any decision to stop all gift imports of food items in order to achiey?. self-sufficiency and self-reliance.

(c) Givernment have not received any complaint that gift imports of edible oil have led to payment of non-remunerative prices to oilseed prodacers.

Alteration in the Zonal Master Plan of Delhi

1970. SHRI MOHD. KHALEELUR RAHM\N: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) which is the authority competent to aprove the alteration in the land use of the Zonal Mister Plan of Dalhi/ New Delhi as earlier sanctioned, viz., the Gaildrens' Parks laid for the various colonies and other green belt areas;

(b) whether recently the Delhi Development Authority has sanctioned the allotment of a 3.7 acre of land comprising of Children Park/Play-Ground to the Sadhu Vaswani Mission School in the Shantiniketan Colony, New Delhi being run on commercial basis, which had earlier been concelled by the Delhi Administration: and

(c) if so, the reasons for depriving the children of the residents of the above colony of this facility despite vehement protests and representations by the Residents' Association?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MURASOLI MAR AN): (a) The Central Government.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The children of the colony have not been deprived of the park facility as the playground would still be open to the residents when not actually being used by the school. Also, it has been decided to have a children's park on the piece of land east of this playground, which has been allotted to the school. DDA has been given this responsibility and this park would be open to the children of the colony.

Land to Sadhu Vaswani Mission for School

1071. SHRI MOHD. KHALEELUR RAHMAN: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the reply to Unstarred Question 2127 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 4th August, 1989 and state;

(a) whether it is a fact that 'the Sadhu Vaswani Mission was allotted a Plot for Nursery School in the Shantiniketan Colony, New Delhi even when it was running Nursery classes in the plot, already allotted to it;

(b) whether later on this allotment was cancelled;

(c) whether it is also a fact that his Mission in still holding on to this plot, here it had put up a wooden structure to establish its title *to* the land;

(d) if so, the steps Government propose to take to get this plot vacated and recover some penal licence fee for all the time this Mission continued to occupy this plot; and

(e) whether any Member of Parliament had also written in the matter on 10th August, 1989; if so, what action was taken thereon ?